

Minutes

Consolidated Billing Subcommittee Meeting

Thursday, October 12, 2000, 9:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m.

**Arizona Public Service – 2nd Floor, Conference Room 2 South
400 North 5th Street, Phoenix, AZ**

	Topic	Lead	Outcome	Att.
1	Welcome, Intro, Sign-In	Shirley Renfroe	Shirley Renfroe (Pinnacle West) opened the meeting and welcomed members. Participants signed the attendance sheet and introduced themselves.	1
2	Review Minutes from the Consolidated Billing Subcommittee's Prior Meeting (September 28, 2000)	Shirley Renfroe	Minutes from the September 28, 2000, subcommittee meeting were reviewed by members. Topic number four was changed to clarify that SRP is Mr. White's employer.	
3	Updates on other Subcommittees	Stacy Aguayo	<p>Stacey Aguayo gave an update of the VEE Subcommittee held October 10, 2000. The VEE document will be sent to all members giving 30 days for review and comments. It can then be voted on at the December Policy meeting. The VEE subcommittee is also reviewing performance issues with MRSPs, VEE is bringing one item back to the Billing Subcommittee: what will be done with reposted data? Mr. White mentioned that a request will be sent to the ACC to clarify this issue. Ken Grove will be drafting a proposal regarding meter installation in the field and sending it to members. Citizens and TEP provided position papers regarding customer ownership of meters.</p> <p>Stacey Aguayo gave an update of the Metering Subcommittee held October 11, 2000. The ACC is checking if its rules allow for meter ownership under standard offer. ACC Staff will provide an answer at the November 1st Policy meeting. The Metering Subcommittee will address this issue after getting an answer from ACC Staff.</p>	
4	Discuss Possible Methods for Handling Questionable Bills that are Issued Between the Time that a Customer Goes DA and then Switches Back to Standard Offer or Chooses a Different MRSP or ESP	Shirley Renfroe	Members discussed estimating versus actual reads for final bills. Mr. Rigsby researched past meeting minutes, but was unable to find any references to the final bill issue. He called members of RUCO, and his contacts could not recall a discussion of actual reads for a final bill. All present agreed that current company practice is to estimate final bills in the event of dead or damaged meters. Stacey Smith presented a position paper on this issue. Members discussed whether companies should obtain a waiver of the rules or request that the rules be changed to reflect scenarios companies face today and will face in the future. Members suggested that the rules regarding this issue be changed. It was concluded that this issue will be brought before the PSWG Policy meeting.	
5	Review Definitions for Charge Codes To Be Used on the EDI 810	Shirley Renfroe	No new definitions were submitted for compilation.	
6	Review Definitions for Charge Codes To Be Used on the EDI 810	Shirley Renfroe	Reviewed and made adjustments to UIG codes, descriptions, definitions, and the level the charges are applied.	2

7	Continue Discussion and Finalization of the EDI 810 for ESP Consolidated Billing	Shirley Renfroe	<p>Members reviewed and made adjustments to the October 5, 2000, draft of the EDI 810. Mr. Slechta will have changes made and present new draft at November 1st Policy meeting. Mr. White stated that it should be the responsibility of the ACC to maintain standardized documents on its website and update or revise as necessary. Ms. Renfroe agreed that this is an issue that the ACC needs to address. She stated that this is a new issue that should be assigned to the Policy group. Members agreed to bring up this issue at the November 1st Policy meeting. Ms. Renfroe also stated that 867 is not posted anywhere.</p>
8	Meeting Evaluation	Shirley Renfroe	<p>Members reviewed unresolved issues assigned to the Billing Subcommittee. Many of these issues had already been resolved. Those issues left unresolved will be discussed at the next Billing Subcommittee meeting.</p> <p>Issue 18 was discussed. A waiver of 210 rules was filed, but it was not changed in the Rules Tweaking package approved October 4th, 2000. Ms. Renfroe will speak with Ms. Keene regarding this waiver and state the desire to move forward with the waiver. She will ask for clarification from Ms. Keene regarding this issue. Some rules were changed in the Rules Tweaking package and Ms. Renfroe will ask how this impacts this waiver request. Issue 57 is resolved and its resolution should be mentioned in the next PSWG report. Mr. Wallace suggested that ACC Staff present this issue at its next Electric Policy meeting. The Billing Subcommittee wants assurance that in the case of a billing dispute, both the UDC and ESP are notified by the ACC of the dispute.</p> <p>Ms. Renfroe stated that Issue 59 is still open. She will discuss with Ms. Keene whether this is part of the 210 waiver issue previously discussed.</p> <p>As part of Issue 60, members discussed the term "utility" as presented in the 210 rules. They would like clarification from the ACC Staff of this term and which types of utilities are included. Specifically, members want to know if the term utility includes all certificated competitive providers.</p>
9	Suspend Meeting		<p>The meeting was suspended at approximately 1:00 p.m.</p>

Attachment No. 1 - Consolidated Billing Subcommittee

ARIZONA PROCESS STANDARDIZATION WORKING GROUP

Consolidated Billing Subcommittee

October 12, 2000 Attendance List

Subcommittee Meeting Attendees	Organization
Aguayo, Stacey	Arizona Public Service
Brown, Debbie	Salt River Project
Cobb, Anne	TRICO
Diaz, Deborah	Tucson Electric Power
Ferrara, Marilyn	APS Energy Services
Germain, Denise	Tucson Electric power
Hieronimus, Cheryl	Tucson Electric Power
Kalleberg, Marta	Arizona Corporation Commission
Pichoff, Darrel	K. R. Saline & Associates
Renfro, Shirley	Pinnacle West
Slechta, Eugene	Salt River Project
Smith, Stacy	Arizona Public Service
Taylor, Paul	RW Beck/Citizens
Wallace, John	Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative
White, Jack	Salt River Project